



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,493	02/12/2004	Ashvin Joseph Mathew	MS#304548.01 (5096)	5231

38779 7590 04/29/2009
SENNIGER POWERS LLP (MSFT)
100 NORTH BROADWAY
17TH FLOOR
ST. LOUIS, MO 63102

EXAMINER

BLACK, LINH

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2159

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

04/29/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/777,493	Applicant(s) MATHEW ET AL.	
	Examiner LINH BLACK	Art Unit 2159	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LINH BLACK. (3) ADAM L. BAGWELL.

(2) ROBERT M. BAIN. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 23 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: mainly claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Traversat et al. (US 20020147810).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: discussed Applicant's teachings in the current application especially the centralized location access control point of claim 1 against the "peer-to-peer resource resolution" in Traversat's teachings.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/HUNG Q. PHAM/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2159	/LINH BLACK/ Examiner, Art Unit 2159
---	---